Lecture 1
AGN Taxonomy and Unification

- Historical notes
- Multifrequency detection of nuclear activity
- Classification of AGN - taxonomy
- Unification
- Evidence for obscuring tori in AGN

Itziar Aretxaga (INAOE) @El Escorial, Dec 2007

AGN taxonomy

"Active" is used to refer to energetic processes that are not related to the normal evolution of stars.

However, the nucleus of a galaxy is defined as an AGN when it has certain optical spectroscopic characteristics. The definition does not address the mechanism responsible for the peculiarities of the spectra.

AGN are a very heterogeneous group:

FR I Sey 1.8 NLXG CSS

LINER

NLRG HPQ GPS BLRG

QSO

BALQSO Sey 1 LPQ QSR

NLS1

Sey 1.9 Sey 2

BLL

OVV

FR II

(Goodrich 2001)

AGN taxonomy

Seyfert galaxy: galaxy (usually a spiral) with a high surface brightness nucleus that reveals unusual emission-lines (Seyfert 1943).

(Paul van der Werf's web-page, see also Kraemer & Crenshaw 2000)

(Antonucci & Miller 1985)   ©NOAO

The definition has evolved to underline the presence of strong high-ionization lines, and even coronal lines (although not all AGN have them).

AGN taxonomy: Seyfert galaxies

Seyfert types: depending on the width of the optical emission lines (Khachikian & Weedman 1974, Osterbrock 1981):

• Sy 2: narrow emission lines of FWHM ≤ few x 100 km s^{-1}

• Sy 1: broad permitted emission lines (Hα, He II, ...), of FWHM ≥ 10^3 km s^{-1} that originate in a high-density medium (n_e ≥ 10^9 cm^{-3}), and narrow-forbidden lines ([O III], [N II], …) that originate in a low-density medium (n_e ≈ $10^3 - 10^6$ cm^{-3}).

• Sy1.x (1.9, 1.8, ...): they graduate with the width of the Hα and Hβ lines.

• NL Sy1: subclass of Sy 2 with X-ray excess and optical Fe II in emission.

But the classification for a single object can change with time, due to AGN variability!


(Goodrich 1995)

AGN taxonomy: Quasars and QSOs

Quasar = Quasi Stellar Radio-source ,   QSO = Quasi-Stellar Object
Scaled-up version of a Seyfert, where the nucleus has a luminosity
$M_B < -21.5 + 5 \log h_0$ (Schmidt & Green 1983). The morphology is, most often, star-like. The optical spectra are similar to those of Sy 1 nuclei, with the exception that the narrow lines are generally weaker.


©HST

(Paul Francis' web page)

There are two varieties: radio-loud QSOs (quasars or RL QSOs) and radio-quiet QSOs (or RQ QSOs) with a dividing power at $P_{5GHz} \approx 10^{24.7}$ W Hz^{-1} sr^{-1} .
RL QSOs are 5−10% of the total of QSOs.

AGN taxonomy: Quasars and QSOs

There is a big gap in radio power between RL and RQ varieties of QSOs
(Kellerman et al. 1989, Miller et al. 1990, Hoper et al. 1995), although some recent samples
show a continuity of properties (e.g. Cirasiuolo et al. 2003)

$P_{5GHz} \approx 10^{24.7}$ W Hz^{-1} .

(Miller et al. 1990)

AGN taxonomy: Radio galaxies

Strong radio sources associated with giant elliptical galaxies, with optical spectra similar to Seyfert galaxies.

(Aretxaga et al. 2001)

Hydra A

2.7=188 kpc

©Chandra

Sub-classification according to
♦ optical spectra: NLRG = narrow-line radio galaxy, and BLRG = broad-line radio galaxy, with optical spectra similar to Sy 2 and Sy 1, respectively.
♦ spectral index (α, such that $F_v = v^\alpha$) at v=1GHz: steep or flat separated by α=−0.4
♦ radio morphology (Fanaroff & Riley 1974): measured by the ratio of the distance between the two brightest spots and the overall size of the radio image.
FR I with R<0.5 and FR II with R>0.5

AGN taxonomy: LINERs

LINER = Low-Ionization Narrow-Line Region

They are characterized by [O II] λ3727Å / [O III] λ5007Å ≥ 1   (Heckman 1980)

[O I] λ6300Å / [O III] λ5007Å ≥ 1/3

Most of the nuclei of nearby galaxies are LINERs. A census of the brightest 250 galaxies in the nearby Universe shows that 50–75% of giant galaxies have some weak LINER activity (Stauffer 1982, Phillips et al. 1986, Ho, Filippenko & Sargent 1993, …).

They are the weakest form of activity in the AGN zoo. One has to dig into the bulge spectrum sometimes to get the characteristic emission lines:

(Ho et al. 1993)

©POSS

AGN taxonomy: BL Lacs

BL Lac is the prototype of its class, an object, stellar in appearance, with very weak emission lines and variable, intense and highly polarized continuum. The weak lines often just appear in the most quiescent stages. Blazars encompass BL Lacs and optically violent-variable (OVV) QSOs. These are believed to be objects with a strong relativistically beamed jet in the line of sight.

(Vermeulen et al. 1994)

Image Size 55x80 asec

Image Size 10x10 arcmin

AGN gallery and densities

(Sanders & Mirabel 1996)

Space densities in the local Universe
(Wotjer 1990, Peterson 1997)

Radio-quiet

Sy 2: $8 \times 10^5 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

Sy 1: $3 \times 10^5 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

QSOs: $800 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

Radio-loud

FR I: $2 \times 10^4 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

BL Lac: $600 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

FR II: $80 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

Quasars: $20 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

All galaxies

S: $1.5 \times 10^7 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

E: $1.0 \times 10^7 \, h_0^3 \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$

AGN history of events (Shields 1999)

1909 Fath: First spectroscopy of spiral nebulae, inc. NGC1068, he detected nebular emission lines and was awarded his PhD.

1943 Seyfert: Systematic study of galaxies with emission lines, and focused on those with high excitation nuclear emission lines.

1944 Reber: Detection at 160MHz of Cyg-A (actually 2nd brightest source in the sky), confirmed by Bolton & Stanley (1948) as a discrete source (arcmin FWHMs). With interferometry, double radio structure was apparent (Hanbury et al. 1952).

1951 Smith: Accurate position for Cyg-A, optically identified by Baade & Minkowski (1954), who also IDed VirA (M87).

1950-60's: Radio catalogs by Cambridge (e.g. 3C, Edge et al. 1959, Bennett et al. 1962) and Parkes (Bolton et al. 1964) teams.

1963 Schmidt: 3C 273 optical spectrum at z=0.158 from a lunar occultation accurate position (Hazard et al. 1963).

1965 Sandage: finds that most quasars are radio-quiet (blue-colour selected)…

1964-65: First extensive Seyfert galaxy searches through compactness (Zwicky) or UV excess (Markarian)

AGN diagnostic diagrams

The BPT diagrams are used in narrow-line emission systems, to distinguish between hard and soft radiation (Balwin, Phillips & Terlevich 1981, Veilleux & Ostrebrock 1987), which is usually ascribed to non-stellar and stellar activity, respectively.

Some people erronuously take
[O III] / Hβ > 3 as the criterium for AGN

Sey gal

LINERs

H II gal

([O III] λ5007)/(Hβ λ4861)

([N II] λ6583)/(Hα λ6563)

(Peterson 1997)

NGC 4449
Sm/Im

non-AGN emission-line galaxy

Wavelength (A)

(Kennicutt 1998)

AGN diagnostic diagrams

The ten commandments of emission-line diagnosis (Veilleux 2001):

1. Thou shalt use lines which emphasize the differences between H II regions and AGN; i.e., use high-ionization lines or low-ionization lines produced in the partially ionized zone.
2. Thou shalt use strong lines which are easy to measure in typical spectra.
3. Thou shalt avoid lines which are badly blended with other emission or absorption line features.
4. Thou shalt use lines with small wavelength separation to minimize sensitivity to reddening.
5. Thou shalt use lines from the same elements or involving hydrogen recombination lines to eliminate or reduce abundance dependence.
6. Thou shalt avoid lines from Mg, Si, Ca, Fe – depleted onto dust grains.
7. Thou shalt use lines easily accessible to current UV/optical/IR detectors.
8. Thou shalt avoid lines affected by strong stellar absorption features.
9. Thou shalt avoid lines affected by strong atmospheric features.
10. Thou shalt use lines at long wavelengths to reduce the effects of dust extinction.

Widespread diagnosis:

1. UV: N V λ1240/Lyα, N V λ1240/He II λ1640, C IV λ1548/Lyα
2. Optical: [O III] λ5007/Hβ, [N II] λ6583/Hα, [S II] λλ6724/Hα, [O I] λ6300/Hα, [O II] λλ7324/Hα, [Fe VII] λ6087/Hα, [Ne V] λ3426/Hβ, He II λ4686/Hβ.
3. NIR: [Si VI] 1.962μm/Paα
4. MIR: [Ne V] 14μm/[Ne II] 12.8μm, [O IV] 26μm/[Ne II] 12.8μm, EW(PAH 7.7μm), 25μm/60μm.

AGN diagnostic diagrams

M82
(Starburst Galaxy)

Policyclic aromatic hidrocarbons (PAHs), create bumps in the MIR spectrum, which easily identify soft-UV radiation fields that irradiate hot dust. They get destroyed by hard radiation. ULIRGs have radiation fields closer to starburst galaxies than to AGN. From this diagnostic diagram, it is estimated that 70-80% of the MIR radiation is powered by obscured starbursts and 20-30% by AGN (Genzel et al. 1998).

AGN diagnostic diagrams

Photometric selection at FIR (de Grijp et al. 1985, 1987) or FIR/radio bands has also been proposed as a way to identify AGN, however, spectroscopic confirmation is required since there is overlap with other populations at some degree. The overlap in the FIR/radio diagram of the radio-quiet AGN population with star forming galaxies (Soop & Alexander 1991), points towards stellar heating being the origin of most of the radiation emitted in the IR bump.

QSOs/Sy 1
NGC 1068
Sy 2
H II
S gal
$\alpha(60,25)$
$\alpha(100,60)$
(de Gripj et al. 1987)

* ULIRGs
□ QSOs
x RGs
○ Sy
+ late type gal

RGs
RL QSOs

ULIRGs,
RQ QSOs
Sy
late type gal

$\log_{10}(L(Radio)/L_\odot)$
$\log_{10}(L(FIR)/L_\odot)$

(Soop & Alexander 1991)

AGN diagnostics: X-ray selection

Accretion is an effective hard-X radiative process (2 - 8keV).

♦ 3-20% of energy radiated in the classical X-ray bands.

♦ High area density (400 deg^{-2})

♦ Large amplitude variability

♦ Little contamination from other objects

Spectroscopic confirmation is required

But there are reasons for incompleteness:

- Compton thick AGN

- Not all AGN are X-ray luminous

(Silverman et al. 2005)

BLAGN ⬠
NELG ☐
ALG △
Star ✳
Cluster ◯
No z ●
No opt ○

$\Gamma=1.9, N_H=10^{22}$ cm^{-2}

$\Gamma=1.9, N_H=10^{21.5}$ cm^{-2}

$\Gamma=1.9, N_H=0$

HR (H−S/H+S; on axis corrected)

Log fx (2.0−8.0 keV; erg cm^{-2} s^{-1})

Chandra Deep Field−North (a)   HDF−N   GOODS−N

XMM−Newton Lockman Hole (b)

Phenomenology of AGN: energetics

log ν_{rest} (Hz)

log (relative νf_ν)

- ▪ Radio quiet $L_{bol} > 10^{12} L_\odot$
- ▲ Radio quiet $L_{bol} < 10^{12} L_\odot$
- ○ Radio loud $L_{bol} > 10^{12} L_\odot$
- - - - Blazars

λ_{rest}

(Sanders & Mirabel 1996)

Most of the energy emitted by QSOs is associated with the big blue bump. One needs to understand the emission mechanism in this region to understand what makes AGN unique.

The extreme luminosities emitted by AGN

bolometric $L_{Sy} \approx 10^{44}$ erg s^{-1}

$L_{QSO} \approx 10^{46}$ erg s^{-1}

made it clear that the easiest way to explain them was through the release of gravitational energy. In the mid-60s the concept of a supermassive black hole (**SMBH**) surrounded by a viscous disk of accreting matter gained popularity (Zeldovich & Novikov 1964, Lynden-Bell 1969), and become the standard model for AGN ever since.

The standard model of AGN: SMBHs

Nuclear Fusion

Main sequence He production

$4H \rightarrow {}^4He + \Delta mc^2$

1. Protons fuse

2. One proton is transmuted to a neutron, forming deuterium.

Click on the illustration for discussion of any stage of the process.

3. Deuterium fuses with another proton.

4. Two of the resulting helium nuclei fuse.

5. An alpha particle forms with the energetic release of two protons to complete the process.

liberates $\sim 6 \times 10^8$ erg g^{-1}

$\Delta E_{nuc} \sim 0.007\, m_p c^2$

Gravitation

Potential energy from accreting a mass m from ∞ to R_S

$\Delta E_{acc} = GM_{BH}m/R_S$, where

$R_S = 2GM_{BH}/c^2$

$\rightarrow \Delta E_{acc} = mc^2/2$

liberates $\sim 10^{20}$ erg g^{-1}

$\Delta E_{acc} \sim 0.1\, m_p c^2$

Hence accretion of material is the most efficient astrophysical energy source

The standard model of AGN: components

Black hole: $M_{BH} \sim 10^6$-$10^9\ M_\odot$

accretion disk: $r \sim 10^{-3}$ pc $n \sim 10^{15}$ cm^{-3}

$v \sim 0.3c$

Broad Line Region (BLR):

$r \sim 0.01 - 0.1$ pc $n \sim 10^{10}$ cm^{-3}

$v \sim$ few x 10^3 km s^{-1}

torus: $r \sim 1 - 100$ pc $n \sim 10^3 - 10^6$ cm^{-3}

Narrow Line Region (NLR):

$r \sim 100 - 1000$ pc $n \sim 10^3 - 10^6$ cm^{-3}

$v \sim$ few x 100 km s^{-1}

(Collin 2001)

Unification in AGN

All AGN are the same type of object but looked at from a different point of view

	Face-on	Edge-on
Radio-quiet	Sy 1	Sy 2
	QSO	FIR gal?
Radio-loud	BL Lac	FR I
	BLRG	NLRG
	quasar	FR II

This idea dates back to, at least, Rowan-Robinson (1977), and became popular in the mid-80s (reviews by Lawrence 1987, Antonucci 1993, Urry & Padovani 1997, Goodrich 2001).


(Rosa González-Delgado´s web page)

Support for unification: hidden emission lines

Some Sy 2s show broad lines in polarized light (Antonucci & Miller 1995, Goodrich & Miller 1990, ...): the fraction is still unclear since the observed samples are biased towards high-P broad-band continuum objects.

The polarization level of the continuum flux is roughly constant up to λ1500Å (Code et al. 1993), which implies that hot electrons are the scattering source near the nucleus, but dust dominates the outskirts.

(Bill Keel´s web page with data from Miller, Goodrich & Mathews 1991, Capetti et al. 1995)

Support for unification: ionization cones

A number of Sy 2s also show clear anisotropy in the highly ionized emission lines (like [O III]) which, often, resemble a cone (Pogge 1988): the ionization cone is "collimated" by the obscuring torus.

One can readily assess that the radiation field is anisotropic (Neugebauer et al. 1980, Wilson et al. 1988, Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1992):

The number of ionizing photons to produce Hβ:

$$N_m(\text{H}) = \frac{L(\text{H}\beta)}{h\nu_{\text{H}\beta}} \frac{\alpha_B}{\alpha_{\text{H}\beta}^{\text{eff}}} \approx 2.1 \times 10^{52} L_{40}(\text{H}\beta) \quad \text{photons s}^{-1}$$

This can be compared with the ionizing production rate inferred from the continuum:

$$N_i(\text{H}) = 4\pi d^2 \int_{\nu_1}^{\nu_2} \frac{F_\nu d\nu}{h\nu}$$

which yields $N_m(\text{H})/N_i(\text{H}) < 1$, and suggests that the ionization cone sees a more luminous continuum than we do.

NGC 1068

$\Delta\delta$ (arcsec)

$\Delta\alpha$ (arcsec)

(Pogge 1989)

Support for unification: other statistical tests

• Broad-recombination lines in the near-IR spectrum of Sy 2s, have been found in 25% of cases, with $A_V \leq 68$ for Brα (Goodrich et al. 1994).

• Sy 2s also have colder IR colours than Sy 1s (Pérez-García et al. 1998)

• Sy 2s have the largest absorption columns, many of which imply the medium is Compton thick, so that X-rays are suppressed below 10 keV (Mushotzky 1982, Risaliti et al. 1999, Bassani et al. 1999).

• The continuum is stronger in Sy 1s than in Sy 2s (Lawrence 1987)

• All Seyfet galaxies have a NLR with very similar properties (Cohen 1993)

• Variability differs between different types (Lawrence 1987)

• The size of the Sy 1 continuum emitting regions are smaller than those of Sy 2s in HST images (Nelson et al. 1996)

Support for unification: detection of tori?

NGC 4261

0.77" = 55 pc

1.79" = 125 pc

380" = 26.6 kpc

HST imaging of the radio galaxy **NGC 4261** at 5429 Å reveals a thin (≤20pc) extended (125pc along major axis) disk of obscuration (Jaffe et al. 1993, 1996).

Support for unification: detection of tori?

NGC 1068

Nuclear reflection cone (HST/FOC)

(Bill Keel's web page)

Radio jet (MERLIN)

1"

Optical galaxy (HST)

Obscuring torus ? (VLBA)

0.01" ≈ 0.6pc

Cold molecular gas

Warm molecular gas

Hot zone (HZ)

Hot intercloud medium ?

AGN

0.5 pc

0.7 pc

1 pc

Direct, free-free continuum

Scattered non-thermal continuum

(Gallimore et al. 1997)

VLBA observations of the nucleus (S1) of NGC1068 (Sy 2) at 8.4GHz reveals a small elongated structure, probably an ionized disk of ~1.2pc (Gallimore, et al. 1997), at $T \geq 10^{6.5}$ K that radiates free-free continuum or scattered light.

Support for unification: detection of tori?

(Weigelt et al 2004)

200 mas   K'

◆ NGC1068:
  2μm speckel imaging, R ~ 1 pc (Weigelt et al 2004),
  10μm interferometry, R ~ 2 pc, T~320 K (Jaffe et al 2004)

◆ Cen A: 2μm, R < 0.5 pc (Prieto et al 04)
        9,10μm, R ~ 1.5 pc (Karovska et al 03)

◆ Circinus: 2μm, R ~ 1pc (Prieto et al 04)
          8, 18μm, R < 2 pc (Packham et al 05)

◆ NGC1097 & NGC5506: 2μm, R < 5 pc (Prieto et al 04)

Clumping solves the compact emission problem (Elitzur):
e.g. for NGC1068, $L_{bol} = 2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s^{-1} = 2 x 10^{38} W (Mason et al 2006)

$T(r = 2$ pc$) = 960$ K

$r(T = 320$ K$) = 26$ pc

$r(T = 226$ K$) = 57$ pc

If the medium is clumpy, different *T*'s at same *r*

For an homogeneous grey body: r(pc) ~ $L_{39}^{1/2} T_3^{-(4+\beta)}$

Type 1

Type 2

σ

R_i R_o

# Support for unification: detection of tori?

♦ NGC1068:
   2µm speckel imaging, R ~ 1 pc (Weigelt et al 2004),
   10µm interferometry, R ~ 2 pc, T~320 K (Jaffe et al 2004)

Successful modeling (e.g. Nenkova et al. 2002):
- sub-Kepler rotating geometrically thick accretion flows dM/dt ~ 10 M$_\odot$/yr
- quasi-stable clouds of M~50 $M_\odot$, N~10^4 clouds
- optically thick individually

Exploration in whole L space to be done with new generation instruments in 10-m class telescopes (e.g. CanariCam) and, especially, ALMA.


(Weigelt et al 2004)

200 mas   K'

King-Type Torus Model: Density

K-Band Surface Brightness θ = 60°

(Nenkova et al 2002)

Problems for unification: polarization levels

• The continuum is seen in direct light, while the broad lines are just seen in polarized light for Sy 2s
• The continuum is polarized at a lower level than the broad lines in most Sy 2s (Goodrich & Miller 1990, Miller & Goodrich 1990, Tran 1995) .
• The UV slopes of Sy 1 and 2s are very similar (Kinney et al. 1991)

In order to fix the problem, a second featureless continuum FC2 (Tran 1995) is introduced:

• free-free emission from the scattering electrons (Tran 1995), but this would also create very broad emission lines.

• atmospheres of hot stars in a starburst surrounding the torus (Goodrich 1989, Cid Fernandes & Terlevich 1995), which has been found in some UV bright Sy 2s (e.g. González-Delgado et al. 1999, Aretxaga et al. 2001)

(Miller & Goodrich 1990)

Problems for unification: environments

In detailed statistical studies of companions, there is evidence for an excess of galaxies with diameters $D_C \geq$ 10kpc within the 100 kpc around Seyfert 2 galaxies, that is not present in the Seyfert 1s (Dultzin-Hacyan et al. 1999, Koulouridis et al. 2006).


(Koulouridis et al. 2006)

1/3 of Sy 2s have these close companions, not all.

They propose an evolutionary scenario where Sy 2s are obscured Sy 1s because they are suffering a close interaction with a giant galaxy that is bringing gas to the nucleus and obscures the BLR in a process that involves star formation near the nucleus, but hosts are also different.

Problems for unification: variability of Sy 2

NGC 7582

Morris et al. 1985
MNRAS 216, 193

Storchi-Bergmann &
Bonatto 1991
MNRAS 250, 138

Starburst activity or hole in the torus?   (Aretxaga et al. 1999)

NGC 7582 was a prototype Sy 2, that fit all criteria to be a hidden Sy 1 seen edge on... until it became a true Sy 1!

Problems for unification: type-2 QSOs

While Sy 2s are ubiquitous in the nearby Universe, we don´t know of many, if any, narrow-line QSOs.

One possibility is that ULIRGs and sub-mm galaxies are related to the AGN phenomenon, but only ~10% of these show X-ray emission and it is not yet clear they have Sy2-equivalent properties (e.g. Ridway et al. 2007).

The absence of type-2 QSOs could also be explained by a receeding torus model, where the luminous engine sublimates a dust ring which has increasingly larger radius with the luminosity of the central AGN (Hill et al. 1995). The central sublimated area thus offers less lines of sight to obscure the BLR.

Low-luminosity object

High-luminosity object

(Hill et al 1995)

Unification: a useful classifying idea, nevetheless!

Radio-loud:

Radio-quiet:

blazar
quasar
BLRG
NLRG
Sy 2
Sy 1
QSO

(Goodrich 2001)