Forming massive black hole seeds from stellar mass black holes

Alessandro Lupi University of Insubria (Italy)

Supervisor: Prof. Francesco Haardt Collaborators : Dr. M. Dotti, Prof. M. Colpi D. Fiacconi, Prof. L. Mayer, Prof. P. Madau

G.Haro workshop 2015 - Tonantzintla (Puebla, Mexico)

July 9th, 2015

Outline

- Introduction
- Gas Induced Runaway Merger (GIRM)
- Super-critical accretion in gas rich galaxy nuclei

Introduction

Two main scenarios for massive black hole formation:

LIGHT seeds

• PopIII remnants (M $\leq 10^2 M_{\odot}$)

HEAVY seeds

 $(M \gtrsim 10^4 M_{\odot})$

Direct collapse of a massive gas cloud
 Quasistars/SMS

Introduction

Two main scenarios for massive black hole formation:

LIGHT seeds

- PopIII remnants (M $\leq 10^2 M_{\odot}$)
- Runaway merger of stellar mass BHs in NSCs

HEAVY seeds

 $(M \gtrsim 10^4 M_{\odot})$

- Direct collapse of a massive gas cloud
 - Quasistars/SMS

Introduction

Two main scenarios for massive black hole formation:

LIGHT seeds

- PopIII remnants (M $\leq 10^2 M_{\odot}$)
- Runaway merger of stellar mass BHs in NSCs
 - Super-critical accretion of stellar mass BHs in gas-rich galaxy nuclei

HEAVY seeds $(M > 104 M_{\odot})$

- $(M \gtrsim 10^4 M_{\odot})$
- Direct collapse of a massive gas cloud
- Quasistars/SMS

Gas Induced Runaway Merger of stellar mass BHs in NSCs

The Relativistic collapse of a nuclear stellar cluster's core Proposed in the 80's by Quinlan & Shapiro (1987)

Proposed in the 80's by Quinlan & Shapiro (1987)



Proposed in the 80's by Quinlan & Shapiro (1987)





But additional effect need to be be considered!

But additional effect need to be be considered!



But additional effect need to be be considered!



But additional effect need to be be considered!



EVAPORATION

Davies et al. (2011) reconsidered the fate of a NSC in a cosmological framework.

- 1. Deepening of the potential well
- 2. Tighter stellar/compact object orbits and smaller core radius
- 3. Binaries cannot prevent further contraction

RUNAWAY MERGER

In Lupi et al. (2014) we implemented this model in a semianalytic code by B. Devecchi (Devecchi et al. 2009).

In Lupi et al. (2014) we implemented this model in a semianalytic code by B. Devecchi (Devecchi et al. 2009).

 Merger trees provided by Pinocchio (Monaco, 2002), a semianalytic code aimed at following the cosmic evolution of dark matter haloes.

In Lupi et al. (2014) we implemented this model in a semianalytic code by B. Devecchi (Devecchi et al. 2009).

- Merger trees provided by Pinocchio (Monaco, 2002), a semianalytic code aimed at following the cosmic evolution of dark matter haloes.
- The code by Devecchi builds and follows the baryonic components within the dark matter haloes.
 - Gas inflows are self-consistently computed.
 - Different models for BH seed formation can be included.

NSC's core contraction

Toy model:

- stars on nearly circular orbits
- single star angular momentum conservation during the inflow event

$$\star = \sqrt{GM(< r_0)r_0} = \sqrt{G[M(< r_0) + M_{\text{gas}}]r}$$

$$\frac{r}{r_0} = \frac{M(< r_0)}{M(< r_0) + M_{\text{gas}}} \quad \xi \in (0,3)$$

NSC's core contraction

Toy model:

- stars on nearly circular orbits
- single star angular momentum conservation during the inflow event

$$\star = \sqrt{GM(\langle r_0)r_0} = \sqrt{G\left[M(\langle r_0) + M_{\text{gas}}\right]r}$$
$$\frac{R}{R_0} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{M_0}{M_0 + M_{\text{gas}}} \end{bmatrix}^{\underbrace{\xi}} \quad \xi \in (0,3)$$

To model different responses we assumed a power-law relation

Fraction of haloes hosting a BH seed



 $\xi = 0.5$

GIRM BH seed mass distribution



GIRM BH occupation fraction



From left to right: z=20,15,10,6

Summary

Results:

- GIRM channel would be active at lower redshift than the PopIII channel (z ~ 10)
- GIRM is competitive to other channels like PopIII, resulting in a comparable population
- GIRM would produce intermediate mass BHs as coalescence of stellar mass BHs, (M_{BH}~10²⁻³ M_☉) in situ

Open issues:

- The NSC must be prone to a very large gas inflow
- The gas inflow should be confined in the centre without fragmenting and forming stars
- The inflow events should occur on timescales shorter than the typical BH ejection timescale

Super-critical accretion of stellar mass BHs in gas-rich galaxy nuclei

What we need:

What we need:

To avoid gas fragmentation

What we need:

- To avoid gas fragmentation
- To effectively dissipate angular momentum

What we need:

- To avoid gas fragmentation
- To effectively dissipate angular momentum
- \bullet To drive gas toward the centre of galaxies at rates of at least 1 M_{\odot}/yr

What we need:

To avoid cash frogmentation
 To efferent and more detailed investigations
 To drive would be necessary
 1 Mo/yr

What we need:



Madau, Haardt & Dotti (MHD, 2014) discussed supercritical (super-Eddington) accretion onto stellar mass BHs as a viable mechanism to bypass the difficulties associated to both light and heavy seed scenarios

The Slim Disc Model

Abramowicz et al. (1988), Sadowski et al. (2009,2014)



Credits: Madau et al. (2014)

Super-critical accretion on stellar mass BHs in gas-rich galaxy nuclei (Lupi et al. in preparation)

MHD (and Volonteri, Silk & Dubus, 2014) discussed how the conditions for super-critical accretion are plausible in the dense environments of high redshift massive proto-galaxies.

Initial conditions

- Gaseous disc
 - $-M = 10^8 M_{\odot}$
 - $-R_0 = 50 \text{ pc}$
 - $-T_0 = 10^4 \text{ K}$

- Stellar background
 - $-M = 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$
 - $-R_0 = 100 \text{ pc}$
- 20 stellar mass BHs

The code: GIZMO (Hopkins, 2015)

New mesh-free Lagrangian methods to solve the hydrodynamics equations.

1. Volume partition scheme to model the gas distribution starting from a discrete set of tracer points ("particles")



2. "Godunov-type" method to solve the Riemann problem between two particle "effective faces"

BH accretion/feedback

We considered our BHs as sink particles and we implemented the following recipes:

• Flux accretion prescription (Bleuler et al., 2014)

$$\dot{M}_{\mathrm{flux}} = -\int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{acc}}} \mathrm{div} ig(
ho(oldsymbol{v} - oldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{sink}}) ig) \mathrm{d}V.$$

• BH feedback, following Booth & Schaye (2009), assuming the radiative efficiency - accretion rate relation derived in MHD:

$$\eta = \frac{r}{16} A(a) \left[\frac{0.985}{r + B(a)} + \frac{0.015}{r + C(a)} \right]$$

$$egin{aligned} A(a) &= (0.9663 - 0.9292a)^{-0.5639}, \ B(a) &= (4.627 - 4.445a)^{-0.5524}, \ C(a) &= (827.3 - 718.1a)^{-0.7060}. \end{aligned}$$
 $r = \dot{M}_{\rm E}/\dot{M}$

¹ http://grackle.readthedocs.org (The Enzo Collaboration et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014)

Super-critical accretion on stellar mass BHs in gas-rich galaxy nuclei



Super-critical accretion on stellar mass BHs in gas-rich galaxy nuclei



BH-clump capture process



Effect of the radiative efficiency



Effect of the resolution



Summary

Results:

- A radiatively inefficient accretion is a necessary condition to grow supermassive BHs in less than 1 Gyr, able to explain the most massive quasars
- A stellar mass BH embedded in a fragmenting CND can experience a gravitational capture by a massive gaseous clump, which provides a large enough inflow to trigger a phase of super-critical accretion
- The radiatively inefficient accretion on to the BH prevents the clump from being disrupted, allowing for an unimpeded fast growth able to increase the BH mass ~10-100 times more than with a standard Shakura & Sunyaev accretion model.

Summary

Open issues:

- The accretion history strongly depends on the spatial resolution achieved in our runs.
- Despite the high resolution reached we cannot properly resolve the accretion disc scales, so our accretion rates are overestimated.
 —> A quantitative convergence is far from being reached.
- Our simulations are highly idealised. We totally neglected the galaxy scales, which could provide large inflows to replenish the nucleus previously depleted from the gas as consequence of SNa explosions.
- The BH-clump capture process can occur only until the BH mass exceeds the clump mass.

Next steps

Fiacconi et al. in preparation

- L ~ 85 Mpc comoving up to z=6.5 M_{gas} ~ 880 M_{\odot}
- $\mathcal{E} = 47$ physical pc (hi-res region ~ 2.5 R_{vir} at z=6)
- ~1.5x10⁸ particles a z=6.5 (~ 3.5x10⁷ within the virial radius) M_{halo} ~ 1.2x10¹³ M_{\odot} at z=0 (~10¹¹ M_{\odot} at z=6.5) R_{vir} ~ 25 kpc at z=6.5

Metal cooling Haardt & Madau (2012) UV background Blast wave SN feedback Kroupa (2001) IMF Pressure floor (to resolve at least 3 elements)



Thanks for your attention