Omnidirectional planar Antennas for PCS-Band Applications using Fiberglass Substrates.
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Abstract

The design, construction and characterization of planar antennas implemented using fiberglass substrate is presented. For PCS-band frequencies (1.9 GHz), planar antennas are usually constructed of copper films on low-permittivity low loss substrates such as Duroid. Duroid improves the antenna efficiency due to its uniform structure for microwave designs, but its main disadvantage is its high cost. Good antenna performance can be achieved using other substrates, like fiberglass, that is one of the most common materials to construct DC printed circuit boards. Dipoles, patches and collinear arrays are implemented using fiberglass substrates achieving good performance over PCS band. A directional wideband Vivaldi antenna is designed and implemented using the same fiberglass substrate for gain measurements.

Index terms – dipole, patch antenna, OMA antenna, Tripatch antenna, Vivaldi antenna.

1. Introduction

A very important task of mobile communications is the antenna behavior. Its radiation characteristics are much related with the structure and size of resonators, and substrate thickness and dielectric constant (εr). Omnidirectional arrays are usually used in micro and pico-cell base-stations due to the small covered area, and because of the high user’s traffic and low transmitted power, high gains must be achieved.

The growth of radiating and resonating planar structures has introduced a level of miniaturization and performance improvement of RF/microwave devices. Patch antennas have been used in many applications in the last ten years due to its planar structure, small size and radiation characteristics. Many substrates have been employed to support the thin film resonators. Low-permittivity, low loss-substrates such as Duroid improve the antenna efficiency due to its uniform structure for microwave designs, but their main disadvantage is its high cost. Fiberglass is the most used material for DC electronic implementations, like printed circuit boards. Fiberglass layers covered by copper films are commercially available, and planar resonators can be constructed using printed circuit technology. Fiberglass structure is not as uniform as Duroid in terms of manufacturing processes [1]. There exist little air bubbles distributed among the body and non uniformity of thickness [1], but at PCS frequencies (1.9 GHz) the disadvantage is overcome due to the higher size wavelengths. With higher frequency devices, more uniformity materials must be chosen in order to minimize imperfection dimensions respect to the wavelengths used. At lower frequencies (fc<500 MHz) resonating structures present high dimensions making planar antennas not to be an optimum choice. Instead loop and helicoidal antennas are implemented [2]. The proposed antennas are implemented using fiberglass substrates covered by copper films over both sides. The antennas are coupled to 50 Ω standard impedance [2]. A fiberglass substrate characterization is realized in order to obtain its permittivity coefficient εr [1].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the fiberglass substrate characterization; section 3 describes the design and construction of the proposed antennas; characterization of the antennas is reported in section 4; and conclusions are presented in section 5.

2. Fiberglass substrate characterization

In order to obtain the permittivity coefficient εr of the fiberglass substrate, a single λg/2-lenght resonator is implemented according to

\[ \lambda_g = \frac{c}{f_c \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}} \]  (1)

where λg is the guided wavelength, c is the speed of light and f_c represents the resonant frequency. It is assumed that the value of \varepsilon_r is located between 3 and 7, so it is started with a proposed value of 5. The structure of the resonator is shown in figure 1.

Figure 1. \( \lambda_g/2 \)-lenght resonator.
The resonator is implemented for a 1.3 GHz resonance, so its dimensions are \( l_1 = 51 \text{ mm} \), \( l_2 = 6 \text{ mm} \), with 1 mm gaps in order to achieve a weakly electric coupling; the width of the lines is of 2.8 mm. The substrate thickness is of \( h = 1.6 \text{ mm} \). The insertion losses \( S_{21} \) obtained from the network analyzer shows the main resonance at 1.584 GHz. Simulations of this structure are realized with same dimensions and only varying \( \varepsilon_r \) in order to adjust the real value. Table 1 shows three simulated frequency resonances for different values of \( \varepsilon_r \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \varepsilon_r )</th>
<th>( f_r )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Resonances and its \( \varepsilon_r \).

From simulations, it is concluded that a value of 4.4 for \( \varepsilon_r \) is of good agreement with measured \( S_{21} \) curve and resonance. Also a 1.9 GHz resonator is implemented in order to corroborate this data, obtaining the desired results.

3. Design of the antennas

A planar dipole antenna, two rectangular patch antennas, and two arrays of patch antennas are designed and implemented at PCS band frequencies.

Dipole antennas are the oldest and simplest antenna type ever used [2] [3]. The simplest dipole consists of a couple of rods in opposite directions. Dipoles present an omnidirectional radiation pattern that is desired for mentioned wireless applications [4]. Figure 2 shows an omnidirectional radiation pattern produced by a \( \lambda/2 \) length dipole [3]. The designed and implemented planar dipole consists of a \( \lambda/2 \) thin line strip that is coupled to a transmission line section in the same substrate.

The dipole length can be obtained from the input resistance [3]

\[
R_{in} = 24.7 G^{2.5} . \quad (2)
\]

The desired input impedance is 50 \( \Omega \), so substituting it in (2) and making \( G = \hbar / \lambda \), where \( l \) is the dipole length, we obtain

\[
l = 0.422 \lambda . \quad (3)
\]

The central operation frequency is 1.9 GHz so the correspondent wavelength in free space is \( \lambda = 157.9 \text{ mm} \). The overall strip dipole length results \( l = 66.6 \text{ mm} \).

The strip width is of \( \approx 5 \% \) of its length. A transmission line is implemented over the substrate in order to conduct the signal to the dipole and avoid radiation out of it. The ground plane of the transmission line is of 10 times the width of the line.

Based on simulations the feed point of the dipole is moved from the center and the dipole length is lightly increased in order to improve the input impedance. The final design is shown in figure 3 with its correspondent dimensions.
According to simulations, the resonator dimensions are adjusted and the final dimensions are \( L=38 \) mm, \( W=26 \) mm and \( y_0=14 \) mm. The feed line width is of 4 mm. The overall substrate dimensions are \( 73\times57 \) mm and the resonator is located at the center. Figure 5 shows the rectangular patch resonator.

An improvement in radiation behavior can be achieved by placing two small slots in the resonator at each side of the feed line [3]. A second rectangular patch antenna is designed with these slots. Patch dimensions are the same of the first antenna. Deep of slots are generated based on simulations, and results of 3 mm and a width of 4 mm each one. The final design of the patch with the slots is shown in figure 6.

An antenna with three patch resonators is designed in order to increase the bandwidth [6]. The resonant frequencies for each of the patches are 1.85, 1.9 and 1.99 GHz which correspond to lower, central and upper PCS band frequencies [13]. Patch dimensions are calculated using (5) and (6). This antenna is fed at the central patch in coaxial mode with an SMA type connector. The length \( L_3 \) starts from the feed point. Antenna structure is shown in figure 7.

The final dimensions adjusted from the simulations are \( L_1=40 \) mm, \( L_2=38 \) mm, \( L_3=35 \) mm, \( W=26 \) mm. The overall length of patch 3 is of 42 mm, but the effective resonance section is \( L_3 \). Distances between the patches (\( L_g \)) are of 13 mm length. Tripatch antenna presents a non pure omnidirectional radiation pattern due to the constructive/destructive signal phases generated between neighbor patches.

OMA (Omnidirectional Microstrip Array) antennas are widely used for Wi-Fi applications [7]. An OMA antenna consists of alternated and aligned transmission line segments. Gains of about 5 dBi [8] can be achieved with this type of antenna array. A seven segment OMA antenna is shown in figure 8.

The length of the segments are \( L=\lambda_c/2 \) where \( \lambda_c \) is the wavelength in the substrate [7]. \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \) are adjusted to form a 50 \( \Omega \) transmission line in each of the segments [8]. Approximated dimensions correspond to \( W_2=5W_1 \), but these values vary due to the substrate thickness and permittivity. \( W_1 \) is about 5 % of \( L \). The feed point is located at the transition of the first and second segments. The external segments are shorted to its correspondent ground planes at the center of them.

Based on simulations and adjustments, the final dimensions are \( L=37 \) mm, \( W_1=2 \) mm and \( W_2=16 \) mm. This antenna is fed using an SMA connector. In order to realize the measurements it is necessary a wideband antenna to calculate gains. Vivaldi antennas are commonly designed to operate at maximum frequencies of 5 to 30GHz [9], [10] and [11].

The antenna is fed using a parallel conductor transmission line that ends in an aperture. Figure 9 shows the structure of a Vivaldi antenna.
Figure 9. Structure of a Vivaldi antenna.

The aperture follows an exponential trajectory for each side of the substrate, and ends in a width $W$. The transition from the ground plane to the aperture is $r$. The aperture geometry is determined by

$$y = c_1 e^{l x} + c_2,$$  

(7)

where

$$c_1 = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{e^{r x_2} - e^{r x_1}},$$  

(8.a)

$$c_2 = \frac{x_2 e^{r x_2} - x_1 e^{r x_1}}{e^{r x_2} - e^{r x_1}}.$$  

(8.b)

The variables $(x_1, z_1)$ and $(x_2, z_2)$ are the initial and final coordinate points of the aperture. $R$ is a variable that shifts the aperture ratio. The antenna behavior is mainly dependent of $R$ [10]. For a good performance $l$ must be greater than $\lambda_0$ and $W \approx \lambda_0/2$, where $\lambda_0$ is the central operation frequency.

The described Vivaldi antenna presents an approximated bandwidth from 1.5 to 8.5 GHz. The final dimensions are $r = 45$ mm, $l = 140$ mm, $W = 54$ mm and $d = 20$ mm.

All the antennas are fed by using SMA type connectors [12].

4. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

All the simulations are carried out using [14]. Figure 10 shows the return loss (simulated and experimental) of the dipole antenna. It has a bandwidth of 26 % for $S_{11} < -10$ dB.

The return losses of the two designed rectangular patch antennas are plotted in figure 11.

It is noticeable that the bandwidth is narrower compared to that of the dipole, so patch antennas are more band selective. Also the slots at both sides of the feed line improve the performance of the rectangular patch resonator.

The return loss peak of the first patch antenna is located at -12 dB while the slotted patch antenna generates its peak near -16 dB. The correspondent bandwidths are 1.18 % and 1.54 % respectively for $S_{11} < -10$ dB.

The tripatch antenna generates a return loss $S_{11}$ curve which is plotted in figure 12.
From figure 12 the three resonances can be distinguished at 1.75 GHz, 1.85 GHz and 1.95 GHz. Differences between the simulated and measured curves are due to manufacturing tolerances. The bandwidth for this antenna results of 1.88%.

The OMA antenna generates a return loss curve which is plotted in figure 13.

It is shown that wider bandwidth is obtained, compared to the dipole and rectangular patch antennas. The measured bandwidth is 19% for $S_{11}<-10$dB.

The measured return loss curve of the wideband Vivaldi antenna is shown in figure 14.

For this Vivaldi antenna the bandwidth results of 5:1, for a return loss smaller than -10 dB.

To measure the gain of the antennas the experimental setup shown in figure 15 is implemented. To set the reference a dipole was measured first. All the measurements are performed in co-polarization at their center frequency and only the $E$ plane was measured.

Table 2 shows the gain values for each of the antennas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna</th>
<th>Gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch antenna</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slotted patch antenna</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripatch antenna</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMA antenna</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivaldi antenna</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Antenna gain.

It is noticeable that the maximum gain for an omnidirectional radiation pattern is achieved by the OMA antenna due to the number of segments. The patch antennas present the same gain as the dipole because they present only one resonating structure.

Directive antennas generate higher gains due to the energy concentration in the main lobe. It is the case for the wideband Vivaldi antenna, which performs a gain of 9.15 dBi at a frequency of 1.9 GHz.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Six antennas were successfully implemented using a low cost fiber glass substrate. All the antennas were built and tested with good agreement between experimental and simulated results. The largest gain at the center frequency was obtained with the wideband Vivaldi antenna, however, the highest gain for the omnidirectional antennas was given by the 7-segment OMA antenna. Also lower resonator dimensions were accomplished due to the higher fiberglass dielectric permittivity compared to that of a common Duroid substrate.

6. REFERENCES


